



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,713	03/31/2006	Hideyuki Yasuno	18201-003US1 RCJA0213P-US	4470
26161	7590	11/01/2010	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. (BO) P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			PANDE, SUCHIRA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/01/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/529,713	YASUNO ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
SUCHIRA PANDE	1637	

All Participants:

Status of Application: *RCE filed*

(1) SUCHIRA PANDE.

(3) ____.

(2) Ryan McQuade.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 26 October 2010

Time: 10:55 am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 rejection of claims drawn only to SEQ ID NO 1 namely claims 1, 25, 28 and 29 currently rejected over art teaching region comprising SEQID NO 1 in view of Buck et al. will be maintained.

Claims discussed:

Claims 16, 17, 19, 24, 26, 27, 30-33 have allowable subject matter

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Suchira Pande/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1637

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attorney will contact Applicant to see if claims 1, 25, 28 and 29 referring to SEQ ID No 1 and its modifications can be cancelled. Applicant may consider making the claim language uniform of all the allowable claims. Applicant has the option of cancelling all the withdrawn method claims or amend them and ask for rejoinder of product and method claims.